

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig. 1. This sheet replaces the original sheet including Fig. 1.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet (1)

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1.) Claim Amendments

The Applicant has canceled claims 1-18 and claims 19-38 have been added. Accordingly, claims 19-38 are pending in the application. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

2.) Examiner Objections - Drawings

The drawings were objected to because Figure 1 should be designed by a legend such as --Prior Art--. The Applicant has amended Figure 1 to add the legend "Prior Art" as suggested by the Examiner. The Examiner's approval of the drawing Replacement Sheet is respectfully requested.

3.) Examiner Objections - Claims

The Examiner objected to claims 6, 8-10 and 14-18 as being in improper form. The Applicant has canceled claims 6, 8-10 and 18-14 and new claims corresponding thereto have been drafted to avoid the multiple dependencies. The Examiner consideration of the amended claims is respectfully requested.

4.) Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 2-5, 7 and 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which application regards as the invention. The Applicant has canceled claims 2-5, 7 and 11-13 and new claims corresponding to claims 2-5, 7 and 11-13 have been drafted to more particularly point out and claim the invention. The Examiner's consideration of the new claims is respectfully requested.

5.) Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Midtgaard (US 2002/093389). The Applicant has canceled claims 1-3

and 5. New claims corresponding thereto have been drafted to better distinguish the claimed invention from Midtgaard. The Examiner's consideration of the new claims is respectfully requested.

6.) Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner rejected claims 4, 7 and 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Midtgaard. The Applicant has canceled claims 4, 7 and 11-13. New claims corresponding thereto have been drafted to better distinguish the claimed invention from Midtgaard. The Examiner's consideration of the new claims is respectfully requested.

7.) Prior Art Not Relied Upon

In the Conclusion paragraph of the Office Action, the Examiner stated that the prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure. None of the cited references, alone or in combination, appear to disclose the Applicant's invention.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicant believes all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

The Applicant requests a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael Cameron, #50,298/

Michael Cameron
Registration No. 50,298

Date: June 5, 2007 _____

Ericsson Inc.
6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11
Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-4145
michael.cameron@ericsson.com